## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

LAW SCHOOL ADMISSION COUNCIL, INC. : CIVIL ACTION

Case No. 09-cv-04050-JF

•

Plaintiff,

v.

ROBIN SINGH EDUCATIONAL SERVICES, INC., D.B.A. TESTMASTERS

and

ROBIN SINGH,

Defendants.

## CONSENT ORDER

Plaintiff Law School Admission Council, Inc. ("LSAC") and Defendants Robin Singh Educational Services, Inc., D.B.A. TestMasters ("TestMasters") and Robin Singh ("Singh" and collectively, "Defendants") hereby stipulate and agree to the following:

- 1. LSAC brought claims in this action for willful copyright infringement and breach of contract. The copyright registrations that cover LSAC's proprietary LSAT questions, answers, answer keys, and related materials that were the subject of this action ("LSAT Materials") are listed in Exhibit A to the Complaint.
- 2. The parties have entered into a Settlement Agreement and Release ("Settlement Agreement") dated September 16, 2009. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, TestMasters has paid in full the licensing fees owed to LSAC under the 2007 license agreement that was the subject of this action, and the parties have agreed to the entry of this Consent Order to govern the enforcement of the Settlement Agreement and future disputes regarding TestMasters' license of

Case 2:09-cv-04050-JF

LSAC's copyrighted materials. The parties have also agreed that, upon the execution of the Settlement Agreement, they will enter into a license agreement which permits TestMasters to make limited use of the LSAT Materials consistent with the terms of such agreement in exchange for a fee (the "2009 License Agreement").

- 3. Subject to the entry of this Consent Order, LSAC's claims in this action are dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(2).
- 4. Defendants acknowledge the validity of the registrations and copyrights in the LSAT Materials and agree not to contest or otherwise interfere with LSAC's copyrights and LSAC's efforts to enforce those rights.
- 5. Defendants acknowledge that their use of the LSAT Materials in any manner that is not permitted under the express terms of the 2009 License Agreement or any future license agreement between the parties regarding the LSAT Materials constitutes willful infringement of the copyrights in the LSAT Materials.
- 6. Subject to the exception listed in paragraph 7, Defendants are permanently enjoined from:
- (a) using, distributing, reproducing, publishing, displaying, offering for sale, license, or any other purpose, the LSAT Materials, in whole or in part, and in any media now known or later developed; and
- (b) making any statement on Defendants' websites or in other promotional materials that expressly or impliedly suggests that Defendants have a license or other authorization from LSAC to use, reproduce, distribute, publicly display or create derivative works of the LSAT Materials.

- Case 2:09-cv-04050-JF
- 7. TestMasters may only use the LSAT Materials pursuant to the express terms of the 2009 License Agreement or any future license agreement between the parties regarding the LSAT Materials.
- 8. Defendants agree that LSAC will be irreparably harmed by any breach of the 2009 License Agreement, any future license agreement between the parties regarding LSAC's copyrights, the Settlement Agreement, or this Order and, in the event of any such breach, LSAC will be entitled to injunctive relief to prevent Defendants from:
- (a) using, distributing, reproducing, publishing, displaying, offering for sale, license, or any other purpose, the LSAT Materials, in whole or in part, and in any media now known or later developed; and
- (b) making any statement on Defendants' websites or in other promotional materials that expressly or impliedly suggests that Defendants have a license or other authorization from LSAC to use, reproduce, distribute, publicly display or create derivative works of the LSAT Materials.
- 9. In the event that Defendants breach any provision of the 2009 License Agreement, any future license agreement between the parties regarding LSAC's copyrights, the Settlement Agreement, or this Order, or make any unlicensed use of the LSAT Materials and as a result of such breach or unlicensed use, LSAC initiates legal proceedings to enforce its rights, Defendants hereby:
- (a) agree to reimburse LSAC for all of its costs, including reasonable attorney's fees incurred in connection with such legal proceedings; and
- (b) agree to immediately destroy all copies of the LSAT Materials in their possession or control in any and all media.

- 10. In the event that Defendants breach any provision of the 2009 License Agreement, any future license agreement between the parties regarding LSAC's copyrights, the Settlement Agreement, or this Order, Defendants hereby agree that all money owed to LSAC by Defendants pursuant to that license agreement or the Settlement Agreement and Release is immediately due and payable to LSAC.

11. The Court retains jurisdiction to enforce the terms of the 2009 License	
Agreement, the Settlement Agreement and this Order.	
IT IS SO STIPULATED:	. •
an or	mes
John V. Gorman (PA Bar No. 80631)	Randal S. White
Andrew C. Whitney (PA Bar No. 201534)	FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP	2700 Kelly Road, Suite 300
1701 Market Street	Warrington, PA 18976
Philadelphia, PA 19103-2921	Tel: 215-918-3610
Tel: 215-963-5000	
	Of Counsel:
Of Counsel:	Hassan Zavareei
Brett I. Miller	TYCKO & ZAVAREEI LLP
Anita B. Polott	2000 L Street, N.W.
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP	Suite 808
1111 Pennsylvania Ave, N.W.	Washington, D.C. 20036
Washington, D.C. 20004	Tel: 202-973-0900
Tel: 202-739-3000	COLDIGER HOD DEFEND AND RODDI
	COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS ROBIN
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF LAW SCHOOL	SINGH EDUCATIONAL SERVICES, INC.,
ADMISSION COUNCIL, INC.	D.B.A. TESTMASTERS and ROBIN SINGH
IT IS SO ORDERED:	
DATED.	

Judge John P. Fullam